

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,149	12/02/2003	David K. Swanson	03-0078 (US01)	5299	
	7590 12/27/2007 V GROUP LLP		EXAMINER		
12930 Saratoga Avenue			VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
Suite D-2 Saratoga, CA 9	5070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	·		3739		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-CT
	10/727,149	SWANSON, DAVID	K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	JAIE OF THIS COMMUNI(.136(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tel cause the application to become	CATION. reply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this comments.	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 (October 2007		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	icitio io
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 10-12,14,21-23,27 and 28 is/are pen	ding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>10-12,14,21-23,27-28</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election re	equirement	
Application Papers		Adamente.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	\r_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	ented or h) chicated to h	w the Court	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he held in the	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the state of	æ. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	office Action on force DTC	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	difficer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-	152.
			•
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
=			
- accument	s have been received in Ap	plication No	
- The state of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Bureau	I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application	
S. Patent and Tradement, Office	. 4) L. Other	•	

10/727,149 Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 10-12 and 14, drawn to a method of creating a lesion, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 21-23, drawn to a method of treating an epicardial surface, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- III. Claims 27-28, drawn to a cryogenic probe, classified in class 606, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have materially different effects. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions I and II,III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the

1

10/727,149 Art Unit: 3739

apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can be used for non-medical applications such as treating non-biological tissue.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Gary Lueck on 12-17-07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not Application/Control Number:

10/727,149

Art Unit: 3739

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3739

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos December 17, 2007

ROY D. GIBSON / PRIMARY EXAMINER